



## Review Article

# Periodontal Plastic Surgery

Nikolaos Andreas Chrysanthakopoulos

Dental Surgeon (DDSc),-Oncologist (MSc), Specialized in Clinical Oncology, Cytology and Histopathology, Dept. Of Pathological Anatomy, Medical School, University of Athens, Athens, Greece

### \*Corresponding Author

Nikolaos Andreas Chrysanthakopoulos  
Email: nikolaos\_c@hotmail.com

### Article History

Received: 26.09.2019

Accepted: 11.10.2019

Published: 28.10.2019

**Abstract:** Periodontal Plastic Surgery comprises a limited number of surgical procedures which focus on the establishment of normal morphology and architecture of periodontal tissues in order to achieve aesthetical, biological and functional outcomes. The main surgical techniques concern the correction of morphology, position and amount of gingiva and in some cases the reconstruction and augmentation of alveolar ridge. Those surgical procedures include the treatment of gingival recession, surgical crown lengthening, augmentation of the width of attached gingiva and, the vertical or horizontal augmentation of alveolar ridge. Each surgical technique is followed by determined indications, contra-indications, advantages, disadvantages and, predictability.

**Keywords:** periodontal plastic surgery, periodontal tissues, adults.

**Copyright @ 2019:** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non commercial use (NonCommercial, or CC-BY-NC) provided the original author and source are credited.

## Augmentation of the Width of Attached Gingiva

Surgical procedures which have been performed for the augmentation of the width of attached gingiva include free gingival grafts (Bjorn H, 1963, Nabers CL, 1966), subepithelial connective tissue grafts (Langer B *et al.*, 1980, Langer B *et al.*, 1985) and acellular dermal matrix allografts (Wei PC *et al.*, 2000). The above mentioned techniques, nowadays, are used for gingival recession treatment. Disadvantages of the width attached gingiva augmentation techniques are the second surgery for receiving the graft and, in the case of a free gingival graft the healing by secondary intention which can lead to pain, bleeding and inconvenience to the patient. In addition, the free gingival graft is at a disadvantage compared to the subepithelial connective tissue graft due to decreased perfusion and its aesthetic/ chromatic imbalance and texture compared to the adjacent mucosa. It is possible to be required a gingivoplasty surgery in the free gingival graft location because of its bulky and unsightly appearance (Haeri A *et al.*, 1999).

### Gingival Recession Treatment

The most common surgical techniques which are used for gingival recession treatment include several flaps, such as coronally repositioned flap, laterally repositioned flap and its classification such as the double papillae technique, oblique rotated flap, rotated and transpositional flap, and the semilunar repositioned flap, whereas are also used free gingival grafts, subepithelial connective tissue grafts, allografts from acellular dermal matrix and the combination of those flaps with absorbable or non-absorbable membranes, a procedure that is known as guided tissue re-generation (GTR). Other surgical techniques include the combination of free gingival graft or subepithelial connective tissue graft with a coronally repositioned flap (Haeri A *et al.*, 1999). The coronally repositioned flap technique (Harvey PM, 1965, Harvey PM, 1970) is used to treat

Miller class I and II recessions with a rate of complete coverage of the root 24-95% (Harris RJ *et al.*, 1994, Wennstrom JL *et al.*, 1996). This technique is effective in cases that exist a sufficient width (3 mm) and thickness (1-1.5 mm) of attached gingiva (Allen EP *et al.*, 1989). The laterally repositioned flap technique is applied in cases where there is not sufficient width of attached gingiva apically but exists around the adjacent teeth (Guinard E *et al.*, 1977). After performing that technique the rate of complete root coverage has been estimated to be 40-50% (Caffesse RG *et al.*, 1987, Espinel MC *et al.*, 1981, Caffesse *et al.*, 1980). That observation has led to the limitation of choice of that technique. The use of free gingival grafts for treating of gingival recession has shown a complete coverage rate of 0-90% of the cases (Betrand *et al.*, 1988, Sbordone L *et al.*, 1988, Miller PD, 1985, Tolmie PN, 1991). The efficacy of that technique is increased when, after the placement of the free gingival graft for increasing the width of attached gingiva and the healing of the area, in a second time a coronally repositioned flap is going to be performed (Caffesse PG *et al.*, 1980, Bernimulin JP, 1973, Bernimulin JP *et al.*, 1975). The technique of the subepithelial connective tissue graft is characterized by a better chromatic appearance, absence of healing by secondary intention in the palate and therefore less discomfort for the patient. Its combination with a coronally repositioned flap results in complete root coverage of 62-89% of the cases (Harris RJ, 1994, Nelson SW, 1987, Borghetti A *et al.*, 1994). GTR technique leads to a good aesthetic appearance without the need for a second surgery while promotes a real regeneration of the periodontal tissues. It is applied to 5.00 mm depth recessions, while in cases of recessions less than 5.00 mm the mentioned procedures are used (Burns W *et al.*, 2000). A disadvantage of that technique is the difficulty of applying to mandibular teeth due to lack of tissue width, difficulty in handling, muscle tendencies of the location and, the risk of failure in case of membrane dissection. The success rate of the surgical techniques for recession treatment depends on the anatomy of the area. In class

I and II recessions by Miller, it is possible the complete root coverage, while in class III lesions only partial root coverage can be achieved and in IV class lesions is not possible the root coverage (Miller P.D Jr, 1985).

#### Alveolar Ridge Augmentation


The surgical techniques which are performed for alveolar ridge augmentation focus on the re-construction of the aesthetic and anatomic shape of the maxillary posterior teeth in patients with a high smile line who are candidates to be treated with a conservative fixed prosthesis or implant replacement (Behrend D.A, 1981) and, are the following:

- a) onlay grafts which are used for the augmentation of the amount of soft tissues in class II lesions by Seibert classification which concern vertical alveolar ridge absorption (Seibert *et al.*, 1987, Meltzer J.A, 1979),
- b) interpositioned grafts which contain epithelium and connective tissue and are used in class I lesions by Seibert classification which concern horizontal alveolar ridge absorption and class II lesions (Genco R.J, 1990, Seibert J.S, 1991, Seibert J.S, 1993),
- c) c. subepithelial connective tissue grafts which are indicated for classes I-III lesions by Seibert classification (Garber DA *et al.*, 1981, Cohen S, 1994, Smukler H *et al.*, 1994),
- d) combinations of the above mentioned surgical techniques (Seibert JS *et al.*, 1996). In the International literature a large amount of similar studies have been carried out, however studies which have compared the outcomes of the common periodontal surgical procedures have not been carried out. Consequently, the choice of the proper surgical technique has to be based on several parameters such as the location and extension of the lesion, the classification by Seibert (Seibert JS, 1983), the amount of tissues at the donor position, the aesthetic parameter of color at the position which will receive the graft and the design of the treatment which has suggested for the patient after the surgical procedure, a conservative fixed prosthesis or implant replacement.

#### CONCLUSION


The variety of periodontal tissue lesions requires a wide treatment spectrum of surgical techniques, whereas the choice of the proper technique must be based on scientific evidences, such as indications, contra-indications, advantages, disadvantages, predictability and experience and surgical skills of the surgeon. Those parameters are essential for an acceptable aesthetical, biological and functional outcome.

The undersigned author transfers the copyright over this manuscript "Periodontal Plastic Surgery" to in case the manuscript is published. The undersigned author guarantees that the manuscript is original, that it is not being considered for publication in another journal and that it has not been already published. I undersigns this work and I accept responsibility for the publication of this material on behalf of the author.



Dr. Nikolaos A. Chrysanthakopoulos

The undersigned authors transfer the copyright over this manuscript "Periodontal Plastic Surgery" to Oral Health and Dental Management in case the manuscript is published. The undersigned author guarantees that the manuscript is original, that it is not being considered for publication in another journal and that it has not been already published. I undersigns this work and I accept responsibility for the publication of this material on behalf of the author.



Dr. Nikolaos A. Chrysanthakopoulos

**CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION:** Not applicable.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST:

The author declares no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:** Declared none.

#### REFERENCES

1. Bjorn, H. (1963). Free transplantation of gingival propria. *Odontologisk Revy*, 14, 323-326.
2. Nabers, C.L. (1966). Free gingival grafts. *Periodontics*, 4(5), 243-245.
3. Langer, B., & Calagna, L. (1980). The subepithelial connective tissue graft. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, 44(4), 363-367.
4. Langer, B., & Langer, L. (1985). Subepithelial connective tissue graft technique for root coverage. *Journal of Periodontology*, 56(12), 715-720.
5. Wei, P. C., Laurell, L., Geivelis, M., Lingen, M. W., & Maddalozzo, D. (2000). Acellular dermal matrix allografts to achieve increased attached gingiva. Part 1. A clinical study. *Journal of periodontology*, 71(8), 1297-1305.
6. Haeri, A., & Serio, F. (1999). Mucogingival surgical procedures: A review of the literature. *Quintessence International*, 30(7), 475-483.
7. Harvey, P.M. (1965). Management of advanced periodontitis. Part I. Preliminary report of a method of surgical reconstruction. *New Zealand Dental Journal*, 61(285), 180-187.
8. Harvey, P.M. (1970). Surgical reconstruction of the gingiva. Part II. Procedures. *New Zealand Dental Journal*, 66(303), 42-52.
9. Harris, R.J., Harris, A.W. (1994). The coronally positioned pedicle graft with inlaid margins: A predictable method of obtaining root coverage of shallow defects. *International Journal of Periodontics and Restorative Dentistry*, 14(3), 228-241.
10. Wennstrom, J.L., & Zucchelli, G. (1996). Increased gingival dimensions. A significant factor for successful outcome of root coverage procedures? A 2-year prospective clinical study. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 23(8), 770-777.
11. Allen, E.P., & Miller, P.D. (1989). Coronal positioning of existing gingiva. Short-term results in the treatment of shallow marginal tissue recession. *Journal of Periodontology*, 60(6), 316-319.
12. Guinard, E., & Caffesse, R.G. (1977). Localized gingival recessions II. Treatment. *Journal of the Western Society of Periodontology*, *Periodontal Abstracts*, 25:10-21.

13. Caffesse, R. G., Alspach, S. R., Morrison, E. C., & Burgett, F. G. (1987). Lateral sliding flaps with and without citric acid. *The International journal of periodontics & restorative dentistry*, 7(6), 42.
14. Espinel, M.C., & Caffesse, R.G. (1981). Comparison of the results obtained with the lateral positioned pedicle sliding flap-revised technique and the lateral sliding flap with a free gingival graft technique in the treatment of localized gingival recession. *International Journal of Periodontics and Restorative Dentistry*, 1(6), 30-37.
15. Caffesse, R.G., & Guinard, E.A. (1980). Treatment of localized gingival recessions. Part IV. Results after three years. *Journal of Periodontology*, 51(3), 167-170.
16. Bertrand, P.M., & Dunlap, R.M. (1988). Coverage of deep, wide gingival clefts with free gingival autografts: root planing with and without citric acid demineralization. *International Journal of Periodontics and Restorative Dentistry*, 8, 65-77.
17. Sbordone, L. (1988). A comparative study of free gingival and subepithelial connective tissue graft. Periodontal case reports. *Northeastern Society of Periodontics*, 10, 8-12.
18. Miller, P.D. (1985). Root coverage using a free soft tissue autograft following citric acid application III. A successful and predictable procedure in areas of deep wide recession. *International Journal of Periodontics and Restorative Dentistry*, 5(2), 14-37.
19. Tolmie, P. N., Rubins, R. P., Buck, G. S., Vagianos, V., & Lanz, J. C. (1991). The predictability of root coverage by way of free gingival autografts and citric acid application: an evaluation by multiple clinicians. *The International journal of periodontics & restorative dentistry*, 11(4), 261.
20. Bernimulin, J.P. (1973). Deckung gingivaler Rezessionen mit koronaler Verschiebungsplastik. *Deutsch Zahnärztl Zeitschrift*, 28: 1222-1228.
21. Bernimoulin, J. P., Lüscher, B., & Mühlemann, H. R. (1975). Coronally repositioned periodontal flap. Clinical evaluation after one year. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 2(1), 1-13.
22. Harris, R.J. (1994). The connective tissue with partial thickness double pedicle graft: The results of 100 consecutively treated defects. *Journal of Periodontology*, 65(5), 448-461.
23. Nelson, S.W. (1987). The subpedicle connective tissue graft. A bilaminar reconstructive procedure for the coverage of denuded root surfaces. *Journal of Periodontology*, 58(2), 95-102.
24. Borghetti, A., & Louise, F. (1994). Controlled clinical evaluation of the subpedicle connective tissue graft for the coverage of gingival recession. *Journal of Periodontology*, 65(12), 1107-1112.
25. Burns, W. T., Peacock, M. E., Cuenin, M. F., & Hokett, S. D. (2000). Gingival recession treatment using a bilayer collagen membrane. *Journal of periodontology*, 71(8), 1348-1352.
26. Miller Jr, P. D. (1985). A classification of marginal tissue recession. *Int. J. Periodont. Rest. Dent.*, 5, 9.
27. Behrend, D.A. (1981). The design of multiple pontics. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, 46, 637-638.
28. Seibert, J.S., & Cohen, D.W. (1987). Periodontal considerations in preparation for fixed and removable prosthodontics. In full-mouth reconstruction: fixed removable. *Dental Clinics of North America*, 31, 529-537.
29. Meltzer, J.A. (1979). Edentulous area tissue graft correction of an esthetic defect: a case report. *Journal of Periodontology*, 50(6), 320-322.
30. Genco, R.J., Goldman, H.M., et al., (1990). Contemporary Periodontics. St Louis, CV Mosby Co. pp 637-652.
31. Seibert, J. S. (1991). Ridge augmentation in fixed prosthetic treatment. *Compendium of Continuing Education in Dentistry*, 12, 548-561.
32. Seibert, J.S. (1993). Reconstruction of the partially edentulous ridge: Gateway to improved prosthetics and superior esthetics. *Practical Periodontics and Aesthetic Dentistry*, 5: 47-55.
33. Garber, D.A., & Rosenberg, E.S. (1981). The edentulous ridge in fixed prosthodontics. *Compendium of Continuing Education in Dentistry*, 2, 212-224.
34. Cohen, E.S. (1994). Ridge augmentation utilizing the subepithelial connective tissue graft: Case reports. *Practical Periodontics and Aesthetic Dentistry*, 6(2), 47-53.
35. Smukler, H., & Chaibi, M. (1994). Ridge augmentation in preparation for conventional and implant supported restorations. *Practical Periodontics and Aesthetic Dentistry*, 18 (suppl) S706-710.
36. Seibert, J.S., & Louis, J. (1996). Soft tissue ridge augmentation utilizing a combination onlay-interpositional graft procedure: case-report. *International Journal of Periodontics and Restorative Dentistry*, 16(4), 310-321.
37. Seibert, J.S. (1983). Reconstruction of deformed, partially edentulous ridges, using full-thickness onlay grafts. Part I. Technique and wound healing. *Compendium of Continuing Education in Dentistry*, 4(5), 437-453.