



Indications for Lidocaine in Contemporary Dental Practice: An Overview

Dr. E. Karunajothi¹, Dr. K. Thirumurugan¹, Dr. N. Megalaa², Dr. T. Maheswaran^{3*}, Dr. V. R. Arunkumar⁴, Dr. V. Vijaykumar⁵

¹Associate Professor, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Mahatma Gandhi Post Graduate Institute of Dental Sciences, Puducherry, India

²Associate Professor, Department of Pediatric and Preventive Dentistry, Mahatma Gandhi Post Graduate Institute of Dental Sciences, Puducherry, India

³Professor, Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, Adhiparasakthi Dental College and Hospital, Tamil Nadu, India

⁴Professor & Head, Department of Implantology, Adhiparasakthi Dental College and Hospital, Tamil Nadu, India

⁵Associate Professor, Department of Orthodontics, Adhiparasakthi Dental College and Hospital, Tamil Nadu, India

*Corresponding Author

Dr. T. Maheswaran

Professor, Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, Adhiparasakthi Dental College and Hospital, Tamil Nadu, India

Article History

Received: 15.11.2025

Accepted: 06.01.2026

Published: 09.01.2026

Abstract: In contemporary dental practice, lidocaine is the preferred local anesthetic and is known for its reliable pain relief across a range of clinical procedures. This review explores the main clinical uses of lidocaine in restorative dentistry, endodontics, oral surgery, and pediatric dentistry. When used at the recommended doses, lidocaine has an excellent safety profile, with a predictable onset and sufficient duration for standard dental treatments. When combined with vasoconstrictors, lidocaine enhances hemostasis and extends the anesthetic effect, making it ideal for both simple and complex dental procedures. A proper understanding of its indications and administration techniques can enhance patient comfort and reduce the risk of adverse effects.

Keywords: Lidocaine, Lignocaine, Local Anesthesia.

Copyright © 2026 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Local anesthesia is the cornerstone of pain management in dentistry, enabling practitioners to perform procedures with minimal patient discomfort. Lidocaine hydrochloride, introduced in 1943, has maintained its position as the reference standard against which newer anesthetic agents are evaluated [1]. Amide-type local anesthetics demonstrate superior safety compared to ester-based alternatives, with a remarkably low incidence of allergic reactions [2]. This review synthesizes the current evidence regarding the clinical indications, advantages, and practical applications of lidocaine in modern dental practice.

PRIMARY INDICATIONS FOR LIDOCAINE

Restorative and Operative Procedures

Lidocaine with epinephrine provides effective pulpal anesthesia for routine restorative treatments, including cavity preparation, crown placement, and simple extraction [3]. The standard concentration of 2% lidocaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine achieves anesthetic onset within 2–4 min in maxillary infiltrations [4], allowing clinicians to proceed with treatment promptly while maintaining adequate duration for most procedures [5]. Maxillary infiltration with lidocaine demonstrates success rates exceeding 90% for single-tooth procedures, making it the preferred choice for anterior and posterior restorations in the upper arch [5-6].

Endodontic Applications

Endodontic procedures present unique challenges that require profound and sustained anesthesia, particularly in cases of irreversible pulpitis, where conventional techniques may show reduced efficacy [7]. Inferior alveolar nerve blocks using lidocaine with a vasoconstrictor provide baseline anesthesia for mandibular teeth, although supplemental techniques, including intrapulpal injections, may be necessary for complete pain control [7, 8]. Studies have indicated that the moderate duration of action of lidocaine is suitable for single-visit root canal treatments, although longer-acting agents such as bupivacaine may offer advantages for postoperative pain management in extensive procedures [9].

Pediatric Dentistry

Lidocaine with epinephrine remains the preferred local anesthetic in pediatric populations because of its established safety profile with appropriate weight-based dosing. The recommended maximum dose for children is 4.4 mg/kg, although updated guidelines suggest that 7 mg/kg may be safe when combined with vasoconstrictors [10]. Topical lidocaine formulations at concentrations between 5% and 20% effectively reduce needle insertion discomfort, promoting positive behavioral responses in anxious young patients [11, 12]. Careful calculation of the total dosage across multiple cartridges prevents systemic toxicity while ensuring adequate anesthesia for comprehensive pediatric treatments [10].

Surgical Extractions and Minor Oral Surgery

Lidocaine is particularly useful in oral surgical procedures that require both soft tissue anesthesia and hemostatic control. The addition of

epinephrine at ratios of 1:80,000 to 1:100,000 provides vasoconstriction that minimizes bleeding while extending the anesthetic duration, facilitating visualization and surgical precision during the extraction of impacted teeth or other minor procedures [13]. For medically compromised patients, evidence supports the safe use of up to four cartridges of lidocaine with epinephrine in patients with cardiovascular disease, although plain formulations may be preferred for specific cardiac conditions [3].

CLINICAL ADVANTAGES

Safety Profile and Contraindications

Lidocaine exhibits excellent safety when administered within therapeutic ranges, and true allergic reactions rarely occur [2]. The agent undergoes hepatic metabolism, requiring dose adjustment in patients with severe liver dysfunction [4]. Relative contraindications include documented hypersensitivity to amide anesthetics and severe cardiovascular instability, whereas absolute contraindications are limited to confirmed allergies [2-4].

Pharmacokinetic Advantages

The intermediate duration of lidocaine action, typically 60 min for pulpal anesthesia with a vasoconstrictor, aligns well with the time requirements of most dental procedures [4]. Rapid onset characteristics minimize patient waiting time, whereas predictable metabolism and elimination reduce concerns about prolonged soft tissue numbness [3-14]. This pharmacokinetic profile particularly benefits pediatric patients by minimizing the risk of self-induced trauma from extended anesthesia [12].

Table 1: Lidocaine Formulations and Clinical Applications

Formulation	Indication	Onset Time	Duration
2% with 1:100,000 epinephrine	Routine restorative/surgical	2-4 minutes	60 minutes (pulpal)
2% with 1:50,000 epinephrine	Complex surgical procedures	2-4 minutes	60-90 minutes
2% plain lidocaine	Patients with vasoconstrictor contraindications	2-4 minutes	5-10 minutes (pulpal)
5-20% topical	Pre-injection site preparation	1-2 minutes	10-15 minutes (surface)

CONCLUSION

Lidocaine continues to be a cornerstone of contemporary dental anesthesia because of its proven effectiveness in a wide range of clinical situations, from standard restorative procedures to intricate endodontic and surgical procedures. Its reliable safety profile, consistent pharmacokinetics, and adaptability to both children and adults support its status as a standard local anesthetic. Selecting the right patients, calculating doses accurately, and choosing the appropriate techniques enhance results

and reduce the risk of complications, ensuring that lidocaine remains a vital component of modern dental practice.

REFERENCES

1. Martin E, Nimmo A, Lee A, Jennings E. Articaine in dentistry: an overview of the evidence and meta-analysis of the latest randomised controlled trials on articaine safety and efficacy compared to lidocaine for routine dental

- treatment. *BDJ Open*. 2021 Jul 17;7(1):27. doi: 10.1038/s41405-021-00082-5.
2. Ho JTF, van Riet TCT, Afrian Y, Sem KTHCJ, Spijker R, de Lange J, Lindeboom JA. Adverse effects following dental local anesthesia: a literature review. *J Dent Anesth Pain Med*. 2021 Dec;21(6):507-525. doi: 10.17245/jdapm.2021.21.6.507.
3. Su N, Liu Y, Yang X, Shi Z, Huang Y. Efficacy and safety of mepivacaine compared with lidocaine in local anaesthesia in dentistry: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Int Dent J*. 2014 Apr;64(2):96-107. doi: 10.1111/idj.12087.
4. St George G, Morgan A, Meechan J, Moles DR, Needleman I, Ng YL, Petrie A. Injectable local anaesthetic agents for dental anaesthesia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018 Jul 10;7(7):CD006487. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006487.pub2.
5. Fowler S, Drum M, Reader A, Nusstein J, Beck M. Pulpal Anesthesia of Adjacent Teeth Following Infiltration of 2% Lidocaine With 1:100,000 Epinephrine in the Maxillary Lateral Incisor and First Molar. *Anesth Prog*. 2019 Spring;66(1):14-19. doi: 10.2344/anpr-65-04-03.
6. Kanaa MD, Whitworth JM, Meechan JG. A comparison of the efficacy of 4% articaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine and 2% lidocaine with 1:80,000 epinephrine in achieving pulpal anesthesia in maxillary teeth with irreversible pulpitis. *J Endod*. 2012 Mar;38(3):279-82. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2011.11.010.
7. Monteiro MR, Groppo FC, Haiter-Neto F, Volpato MC, Almeida JF. 4% articaine buccal infiltration versus 2% lidocaine inferior alveolar nerve block for emergency root canal treatment in mandibular molars with irreversible pulpitis: a randomized clinical study. *Int Endod J*. 2015 Feb;48(2):145-52. doi: 10.1111/iej.12293.
8. Balasubramanian SK, Natanasabapathy V, Vinayachandran D. Clinical Considerations of Intrapulpal Anesthesia in Pediatric Dentistry. *Anesth Essays Res*. 2017 Jan-Mar;11(1):1-2. doi: 10.4103/aer.AER_11_17.
9. Moradi S, Naghavi N. Comparison of bupivacaine and lidocaine use for postoperative pain control in endodontics. *Iran Endod J*. 2010 Winter;5(1):31-5.
10. Taneja S, Singh A, Jain A. Anesthetic Effectiveness of Articaine and Lidocaine in Pediatric Patients During Dental Procedures: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Pediatr Dent*. 2020 Jul 15;42(4):273-281.
11. Meechan JG. Effective topical anesthetic agents and techniques. *Dent Clin North Am*. 2002 Oct;46(4):759-66. doi: 10.1016/s0011-8532(02)00035-6.
12. Tong HJ, Alzahrani FS, Sim YF, Tahmassebi JF, Duggal M. Anaesthetic efficacy of articaine versus lidocaine in children's dentistry: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Paediatr Dent*. 2018 Jul;28(4):347-360. doi: 10.1111/ipd.12363.
13. Karm MH, Park FD, Kang M, Kim HJ, Kang JW, Kim S, Kim YD, Kim CH, Seo KS, Kwon KH, Kim CH, Lee JW, Hong SW, Lim MH, Nam SK, Cho JM. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of 2% lidocaine HCl with different epinephrine concentration for local anesthesia in participants undergoing surgical extraction of impacted mandibular third molars: A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, crossover, phase IV trial. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2017 May;96(21):e6753. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000000006753.
14. Martin E, Nimmo A, Lee A, Jennings E. Articaine in dentistry: an overview of the evidence and meta-analysis of the latest randomised controlled trials on articaine safety and efficacy compared to lidocaine for routine dental treatment. *BDJ Open*. 2021 Jul 17;7(1):27. doi: 10.1038/s41405-021-00082-5. Erratum in: *BDJ Open*. 2021 Aug 11;7(1):29. doi: 10.1038/s41405-021-00085-2.